

Joseph Y. Avrahamy, Esq., SBN: 150885
LAW OFFICES OF JOSEPH Y. AVRAHAMY
16530 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 208
Encino, California 91436
PH. (818) 990-1757
FAX: (818) 990-1955
Email: avrahamylaw@sbcglobal.net

Attorneys for Plaintiff Dayo Olufade

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION**

DAYO OLUFADE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LOS ANGELES POLICE
DEPARTMENT (LAPD) OFFICER
ULMER; LOS ANGELES POLICE
DEPARTMENT OFFICER TIMMONS;
and DOES 1 through 10, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: CV-12-09269-BRO
(MANx)

Assigned to Judge Beverly Reid
O'Connell

**JUDGMENT ON SPECIAL
VERDICT**

This action came on regularly for trial on February 12, 2014, in Courtroom 14, of the United States District Court, Central District of California before the Honorable Beverly Reid O'Connell presiding; the Plaintiff appearing by attorney Joseph Y. Avrahamy, and the Defendants appearing by attorneys Michael R. Amerian.

A jury of 7 persons was regularly impaneled and sworn. Witnesses were sworn and testified. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury was duly instructed by the Court and the cause was submitted to the jury with directions to return a verdict on special issues. The jury deliberated and thereafter returned into court with its verdict consisting of the issues submitted to the jury and the answers given thereto by the jury, with said verdict was in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

VERDICT

1. Has Plaintiff Dayo Olufade proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants violated his Fourth Amendment Constitutional Rights by unlawfully arresting him?

OFFICER TIMMONS Yes X No

OFFICER ULMER Yes No X

VOTE: YES 7-0

If you answered “No” as to each of the Defendants, please skip the remaining questions and sign and date the Special Verdict Form.

If you answered “Yes” as to any Defendant, proceed to Question No 2.

2. If you answered “Yes” to Question No. 1, do you find that Plaintiff Dayo Olufade has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendants' conduct caused injury to him?

OFFICER TIMMONS Yes X No

OFFICER ULMER Yes No X

VOTE: YES 7-0

If you answered "No" as to each of the Defendants, please skip the remaining questions and sign and date the Special Verdict Form.
If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant, proceed to Question No 3.

3. What is the total amount of damages that you find Plaintiff Dayo Olufade has proven by a preponderance of the evidence?

\$ 10,000.00

VOTE: YES 7-0

Please proceed to Question No. 4.

4. Has Plaintiff Dayo Olufade proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants acted with malice, oppression or reckless disregard of his rights?"

OFFICER TIMMONS Yes _____ No X

OFFICER ULMER Yes _____ No X

VOTE: YES 7-0

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

That Plaintiff Dayo Olufade takes \$10,000.00 from Defendant Jared Timmons and nothing from Defendant Paul Ulmer.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 12, 2014


HONORABLE BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE